Onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci* Lindeman (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), has been a subject of considerable research and extension publications since it was first described in 1888 (Lindeman 1889). Some aspects of its biology, ecology, and management have been summarized in T. Lewis’ highly regarded 1997 book, *Thrips as Crop Pests*, but a considerable amount of detail important for its management on onion (*Allium cepa*L.) was not included or has developed since its publication. For example, in many parts of the world Iris yellow spot virus (family *Bunyaviridae*, genus *Tospovirus*, IYSV), which infects onion and is only transmitted by *T. tabaci*, has caused serious losses in several countries but is not mentioned in the book. Furthermore, management of *T. tabaci* specifically on onion was not emphasized in the book, but onion is a major vegetable crop that was harvested on 198,913 ha (green onions) and 3,731,659 ha (dry onions) worldwide in 2008 (FAO 2009), and *T. tabaci* is an increasingly difficult pest to control on this crop. Our purpose in this review is to highlight important aspects of the history, biology, ecology, and management of *T. tabaci* as it pertains to onion and to stimulate
discussion for future work on this important global pest of onion.

**Taxonomy, Origin, Host Range, and Geographic Distribution of *T. tabaci***

*T. tabaci* was first described by the Russian entomologist Karl Eduard Lindeman, based on specimens collected in Bessarabia, Russia, that caused severe damage to tobacco (genus *Nicotiana*) plants (Lindeman 1889). *T. tabaci* belongs to the order Thysanoptera, suborder Terebrantia, family Thripidae, and subfamily Thripinae to tobacco (genus *Nicotiana*) (Lindeman 1889). The specimens of the so-called *T. tabaci* were described by the Russian entomologist Karl E. Lindeman with specimens sent to him by Karl E. Lindeman with specimens of the *tabaci* species had been collected between 1882 and 1888 on onion and several other host plants in the United States and in the Bermuda Islands, but the species was either misidentified (Packard 1872, Gillette 1893, Osborn and Mally 1895) or not identified at all (Shipley 1887, Thaxter 1890). Theodore Pergande, an American entomologist, after carefully examining and comparing the *T. tabaci* specimens sent to him by Karl E. Lindeman with the specimens of the so-called “onion thrips,” collected from onion and several other host plants in the United States, confirmed that they were in fact the same species (Pergande 1895). Since then, the thrips species reported causing damage on onion (Slingerland 1896, Webster 1901, Chittenden 1913) has been called onion thrips in the English literature. Despite the fact that the first description of *T. tabaci* was published in Europe in 1889, the species had been collected between 1882 and 1888 on onion; cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* L.; cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* L.; and parsley, *Petroselinum crispum* L.; and then identified correctly by Pergande in North America for the first time in the early 1890s (Pergande 1895). *T. tabaci* spread subsequently throughout the United States and southern Canada by the early 1900s (Capinera 2001). *T. tabaci* is considered a pest of significant economic importance on different plant species during dry weather in temperate and subtropical regions (Morison 1957).

**Morphology, Development, and Reproduction of *T. tabaci***

*T. tabaci* adults vary from pale yellow to dark brown (Morison 1957, Mound 1967, Nakahara 1991, Triplehorn and Johnson 2005), depending on temperature during their development (Sakimura 1937, Murai and Toda 2001). Adult *T. tabaci* that develop faster during the summer or at high temperatures are usually smaller and paler than those that develop slowly during winter or lower temperatures (Mound 1997). *T. tabaci* females vary in size and color from small pale to large dark, probably in response to temperature during development (Mound 1973); males are smaller and paler than females (Morison 1957). Murai and Toda (2001) demonstrated that *T. tabaci* adult body color and size are determined by temperature during the pupal and larval stages, respectively. Generally, adult females are 1.0–1.3 mm in length (Morison 1957), and males are 0.7–1.0 mm in length (Pergande 1895).

As with all Terebrantia, the life cycle of *T. tabaci* consists of an egg, first and second larval instars (L1 and L2), prepupa, pupa, and adult (Ghabn 1948, Nakahara 1991). Another stage of *T. tabaci* was described by Gawaad and El-Shazli (1970) as the “prenymph,” an apodous larva with a developed head and two red eyes that has not completely escaped from the egg pocket. Eggs are kidney shaped and are inserted either in the upper or lower surface of a leaf very close to the surface and always inserted diagonally at 60° (Gawaad and El-Shazli 1970). Eggs are 0.26 mm in length (Ghabn 1948).

It is difficult to identify *T. tabaci* larvae from other thrips species. In Egypt, Ghabn (1948) compared *T. tabaci* to other thrips species and observed that larvae of *T. tabaci* have the shortest macrosetae (lateral bristles of the prothorax), 20 μm. Also, the larvae do not have the dark gray chitinous markings on the dorsum of the thorax, which is present in many other thrips species. Finally, the tiny elements of the sculpture of the abdomen consist of pointed small protuberances, whereas in other thrips species these elements are larger and rounded. In general, larvae of the family Thripidae have seven-segmented antennae, three thoracic segments and a pair of spiracles on the mesothorax, and a 10-segmented abdomen with a pair of spiracles on abdominal segments II and VIII. The first instar differs from the second instar by having six pairs of setae on the pronotum and four pairs of setae on abdominal segments III–VII. The second instar has seven pairs of setae on the pronotum and six pairs of setae on abdominal segments III–VII (Nakahara 1991). The short third antennal joint and the pointed terminal joint distinguish the first instar of *T. tabaci*, whereas the antenna of the second instar is more slender and the terminal joint is rounded at the tip. Recently, a comprehensive identification key to the second instar larvae of 130 species of Thripidae, including *T. tabaci*, has been published by Vierbergen et al. (2010).

The prepupa and pupa of *T. tabaci* differ from the larvae by the presence of wing sheaths (Ghabn 1948). The prepupa and pupa of *T. tabaci* can be differentiated by the development and orientation of the antennae and the length of the antennae and wing sheaths (Ghabn 1948).

Adult *T. tabaci* have a gray or yellowish gray ocellar pigment (Morison 1957, Stannard 1968), front wing
with four setae (occasionally two to six) on the distal half of first-vein (Moritz et al. 2001), seven-segmented antennae (Mound and Walker 1982, Bournier 1983) with the first and the base of segments three and four lighter than the others (Stannard 1968), and do not have the accessory setae on the abdominal sternites (Bournier 1983). The grayish ocellar crescent distinguishes T. tabaci from most species in Thripidae, which have orange to red ocellar crescents. Other diagnostic characters are the short posteroangular setae present on the pronotum, and the posteromarginal comb on abdominal segment VIII with long, close-set teeth (Nakahara 1991, 1994), which is complete dorsally; pronotal bristles 33–45 μm long without accessory bristles on abdominal sternites (Morison 1957) and pleurotergites without discal setae but with sculptral bristles on abdominal sternites (Ananthakrishnan 1990). In the eastern Mediterranean, Sakimura (1937) distinguished T. tabaci from most species in Thripidae, which are not dependent on finding mates and, if they are resistant to an insecticide, then their offspring will be as well.

Usually, T. tabaci overwinter as adults (Shirck 1951, Lewis 1973, North and Shelton 1986b, Sites and Chambers 1990). Sites and Chambers (1990) found that temperature induces diapause in T. tabaci populations and eggs can be laid as soon as temperature increases during the spring. T. tabaci lay eggs where they feed and move continually to younger tissue (Theunissen and Legutowska 1991). T. tabaci lay more eggs and develop faster on onion leaves than on onion bulbs (Gawaad and El-Shazli 1970); however, they lay their eggs indiscriminately on leaves, cotyledons, petals, sepals, and glumes (Lewis 1973). Compared with other thrips species, T. tabaci lay =3 times more eggs during the first 10 d of oviposition than in the last 10 d (Sakimura 1937), which allows a rapid establishment of large populations.

In Table 1, we present a summary of different studies of T. tabaci developmental time on onion under different temperatures. The short developmental time and high fecundity of T. tabaci often results in population outbreaks.

### Seasonal Patterns of T. tabaci on Hosts in the Onion Cropping System

T. tabaci infests crops that are ephemeral in the landscape. For example, onions are generally in the ground for 3 mo before they are harvested so insects such as thrips must evolve strategies that can deal with continuously changing habitats. Understanding the factors that influence their movement patterns, espe-

---

**Table 1. Development stages (days) of T. tabaci on onion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Egg</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>Prepupa</th>
<th>Pupa</th>
<th>Total (d from egg to adult)</th>
<th>Preoviposition</th>
<th>Oviposition</th>
<th>Eggs/female</th>
<th>Adult longevity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>Sakimura (1937)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>Lall and Singh (1968)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>Lall and Singh (1968)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>Gawaad and El-Shazli (1971)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>Gawaad and El-Shazli (1971)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Edelson and Magaro (1988)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Edelson and Magaro (1988)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>Salas et al. (1993)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>Guzman et al. (1996)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Arrieche et al. (2006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Note:**
- Temperature (°C) for development stages.
- Development stages include: Egg, L1, L2, Prepupa, Pupa, Total (d from egg to adult), Preoviposition, Oviposition, Eggs/female, Adult longevity.
- Reference details include authors and publication years.
cially in the varied landscapes onion is often grown in, may provide insight into enhanced management in crops such as onion.

Horsfall (1921) investigated the sources of infestation of *T. tabaci* and found that it became a problem for onion only after nearby fields of alfalfa, *Medicago sativa* L., were established for two consecutive seasons. In this study, dispersal flights were observed soon after alfalfa was cut. Similarly, North and Shelton (1986a) observed many thrips in cabbage fields only when maturation and/or mowing of other field and forage crops occurred. Overwintering populations in field and forage crops are important sources of *T. tabaci* that infest vegetable crops (North and Shelton 1986a,b; Weiss and Beshear 1987; Chambers and Sites 1989). However, such sites are not the only source for *T. tabaci*. Larentzaki et al. (2007) found that *T. tabaci* overwinters in the soil within onion fields and surrounding vegetation and that volunteer onion plants could be important hosts for thrips before the newly planted onion crop emerges in the spring. *T. tabaci* also colonized volunteer onion plants left in the field in the migration on a system. Harding (1961) also studied the effect of immigration on a closed system. Shelton et al. (1987) found some *T. tabaci* densities were low, higher numbers of larvae than adults gathered at the base of plants. When onion plants matured and thrips densities increased, the larvae dispersed to other parts of the leaves. At all times, more adults were found in the upper than in the basal sections of the plant. Eggs were laid all over the plant, but leaves of intermediate ages had more eggs than younger and older leaves.

**Environmental Effects on *T. tabaci* Outbreaks**

Hot and dry weather promotes the increase of *T. tabaci* populations (Bailey 1934, Rueda et al. 2007) and the severity of thrips injury (Lewis 1973). However, it is difficult to determine if the effect is nutritional or due to the reduction of mortality from rain (Kirk 1997). It also has been suggested that water stress may increase the nutritional quality of the plant, increasing attractiveness of the plant to thrips (Lewis 1973). After heavy rains, thrips species, such as *T. tabaci*, can be washed off plants (Harris et al. 1935, North and Shelton 1986a).

The number of *T. tabaci* on onion can be enormous. Lewis (1973) estimated *T. tabaci* densities of 740–1,600 × 10^6 ha^-1 for larvae on onion in the United States. Srinivasan et al. (1981) sampled an onion field with an average of 10.9 thrips per plant, and the distribution was clumped. Edelson et al. (1986) found that when *T. tabaci* density was more than one thrips per plant the distribution was clumped, but when it was less than one thrips per plant, the distribution was more uniform or not significantly different from a random distribution. Shelton et al. (1987) found some “hot spots” where *T. tabaci* was concentrated along the borders in some of the six commercial onion fields sampled during the middle of the growing season but that the distribution throughout the field was essentially random.

**Damage Caused by *T. tabaci* on Onion**

*T. tabaci* is considered an indirect pest of dry bulb onion because it feeds on leaves rather than the marketable portion of the crop, the bulb. Thrips feeding on onion causes silvery leaf spots that turn into white blotches along the leaves due to removal of cellular content followed by the development of silvery patches and curling of leaves (Bailey 1938). This injury reduces the photosynthetic ability of the plant (Molenaar 1984, Parrella and Lewis 1997) by destroying chlorophyll-rich leaf mesophyll (Molenaar 1984), and this may interfere with transportation of nutrients to the bulb (Parrella and Lewis 1997). Damage to onion caused by *T. tabaci* induces greater ethylene
production (Kendall and Bjostad 1990) when the saliva from thrips salivary glands comes into contact with damaged tissues on the plant (Kendall and Capinera 1990), and this induces ripening (bulb swelling) and senescence of leaves (Levy and Kedar 1970, Jackson and Osborne 1970). Thus, thrips infestations at the end of the growing season may help prepare the onion crop for harvest. However, permitting damage to occur late in the season could allow pathogens to infect the plant causing quality reductions in storage (Mayer et al. 1987).

*Thrips tabaci* still causes significant yield loss despite decades of research on control strategies worldwide (Lewis 1997b). *T. tabaci* feeding can reduce onion bulb weight (Kendall and Capinera 1987, Fournier et al. 1995, Rueda et al. 2007, Diaz-Montano et al. 2010) and cause up to 60% yield loss (Waiganjo et al. 2008). Young onion plants are more susceptible and prone to be killed by high *T. tabaci* infestations (Lewis 1973) and are relatively insensitive to thrips feeding late in the season; however, the damage increases with water stress (Parrella and Lewis 1997). Besides damage to the onion crop, *T. tabaci* adults and larvae also can feed on flower pedicels and buds and reduce seed yield in onions grown for seed production (Elmore 1949).

In addition to injury by feeding, *T. tabaci* transmits IYSV and is the only confirmed vector of this pathogen (Pozzer et al. 1999, Kritzman et al. 2001). IYSV was first identified on onion in southern Brazil in 1981 (Pozzer et al. 1994) and was confirmed in the United States in 1989 in Idaho and Oregon (Hall et al. 1993). IYSV has spread to other important onion-producing U.S. states and worldwide (Gent et al. 2006). IYSV symptoms on leaves appear as lesions (i.e., straw-colored to white, dry, and sometimes elongate) along the edges (Gent et al. 2006). Studies conducted in Colorado (Gent et al. 2004) indicate that IYSV infection can reduce bulb size (Gent et al. 2004) and cause 100% crop loss (Pozzer et al. 1999). Many onion cultivars have been tested in field conditions, and all of them became infected with the virus (du Toit and Pelter 2005, Diaz-Montano et al. 2010). In New York, where the virus was found recently (Hoepfing et al. 2007), symptoms of IYSV were mild to absent and appeared late in the season (Diaz-Montano et al. 2010, Hsu et al. 2010), which suggests that reductions in plant size and bulb weight were probably due to *T. tabaci* feeding rather than IYSV (Diaz-Montano et al. 2010). However, if IYSV infects onion plants early in the growing season, onion yield losses may increase (Diaz-Montano et al. 2010).

Feeding by *T. tabaci* can increase the incidence of the fungal pathogen *Botrytis allii* Munn in stored onions (Mayer et al. 1987). Onion plants with both *T. tabaci* and *Alternaria porri* (Ellis), the causal agent of purple blotch of onion, had larger lesions and suffered more damage. The fungus enters onion leaves through stomata and the epidermal cell layer but penetrates easier when the leaf surface has been damaged by thrips. In addition, the infection moved from old leaves to young leaves where *T. tabaci* feeds (Third and Jhooty 1982, McKenzie et al. 1993). Studies strongly suggest that *T. tabaci* predisposes onion plants to *A. porri*; therefore, measures against *T. tabaci* should be considered while planning control of purple blotch of onion (Third and Jhooty 1982).

**Treatment Guidelines for *T. tabaci* on Onions**

Treatment guidelines for *T. tabaci* on onion are impacted by a number of environmental and biological factors and vary regionally. Edelson et al. (1986) found that low populations of *T. tabaci*, approximately nine thrips per plant for the whole season, did not impact onion yield significantly, but a population of 24 thrips per plant had a significant negative effect on yield. Other studies showed yield losses varying from 43% (Fournier et al. 1995) to 60% (Waiganjo et al. 2008). The greatest reduction in yield has been observed at the bulbing stage when populations are not controlled; only 10 thrips per plant can cause a 2–3 and 7% bulb reduction in field and greenhouse conditions, respectively (Kendall and Capinera 1987).

It is difficult to determine accurate economic injury thresholds to onion because injury is caused indirectly by *T. tabaci* feeding on leaves rather than on the bulbs. However, some studies have been conducted. In Canada, Fournier et al. (1995) determined that seasonal (1988–1990) averages of 149 and 172 *T. tabaci* per plant resulted in yield losses of 35 and 43% in untreated plots, respectively, whereas an average of 35 thrips per plant did not cause significant losses. The economic thresholds calculated were 2.2 and 0.9 thrips per leaf for 1988 and 1989, respectively. In other studies, the following economic thresholds were recommended for *T. tabaci* on onion: three thrips per leaf in New York (Shelton et al. 1987); one thrips per leaf in Texas (Edelson et al. 1989); 0.9 thrips per leaf under early and severe drought conditions and 2.2 thrips per leaf during a season with a slight water deficit in Canada (Fournier et al. 1995); and 0.5 and 1.6 thrips per leaf during the dry season in Honduras (Rueda et al. 2007). There is not a unique economic threshold for thrips on onion (Fournier et al. 1995) or for any given pest without considering weather conditions (Pedigo et al. 1986, Fournier et al. 1995) or the efficacy of the insecticide used (Nault and Shelton 2010). The use of economic threshold for *T. tabaci* on onion should be implemented for farmers to change the persistent calendar application of chemical insecticides, which has led to development of *T. tabaci* resistance to insecticides; however, as mentioned, the determination of economic injury thresholds depends on several factors such indirect damage on leaves, weather conditions, and insecticide efficacy. Furthermore, in some locations more than one economic threshold may have to be determined, one threshold for the rainy season and another for dry season (Rueda et al. 2007). Thus, farmers may need to establish their own economical threshold based on their unique conditions and this complexity may cause them to revert to calendar-based applications.
Management of *T. tabaci* in Onions

The most common method to control *T. tabaci* populations is the use of foliar insecticides, but *T. tabaci* is difficult to control because insects are found mainly in the narrow spaces between the inner leaves (Shelton et al. 1987) where spray coverage is difficult to accomplish. In addition, some populations of *T. tabaci* have developed resistance to insecticides in several parts of the world (Martin et al. 2003; Shelton et al. 2003, 2006; MacIntyre Allen et al. 2005b; Herron et al. 2008; Morishita 2008). Other studies have investigated the potential of other methods of control (biological control, host plant resistance, physical/mechanical control, and cultural practices) to reduce or contain populations of *T. tabaci*. Management strategies of *T. tabaci* will be discussed in the following sections.

**Chemical Control.** There is long history of use of insecticides to control *T. tabaci*. The first reports were the use of crude naphthalene (Maughan 1933, 1934) and crude naphthalene in combination with nicotine dust (Maughan 1934). A tartar emetic and brown sugar solution gave good control of *T. tabaci* on onion in the greenhouse but failed to control thrips in the field (Anderson and Walker 1940); however, Ewart et al. (1944) cited different studies in the field that successfully controlled *T. tabaci* and increased onion yields using tartar emetic. Before the introduction of DDT, the main insecticide to control *T. tabaci* was foliar sprays of tartar emetic (Ashdown and Watkins 1948), but economical control of *T. tabaci* was not completely successful until DDT was introduced (Richardson and Wene 1956). There are several reports in which DDT provided good control of *T. tabaci* (Chapman et al. 1945, Smith and Goodhue 1945, Hely 1946, Ashdown and Watkins 1948, Mayeux and Wene 1950, Wilcox and Howland 1948, Jacks and Harrison 1953), but resistance to chlorinated hydrocarbons emerged in the mid-1950s. In Texas, 18 insecticides were evaluated to control *T. tabaci*, and dieldrin, heptachlor, toxaphene, aldrin, and endrin did not provide control when used alone (Richardson and Wene 1956). After this, organophosphates and carbamates were commonly used (Casida and Quistad 1998). In 1986, studies showed that ethyl parathion applications provided poor control of *T. tabaci* (Cranshaw 1989). Pyrethroids have been widely used for the control of *T. tabaci* in onion. Permethrin was registered for onion and widely applied, but by 1994 it failed to control *T. tabaci* (Davis et al. 1995). Another pyrethroid, λ- cyhalothrin, was registered in 1996 and many growers used it exclusively during 1997 and 1998. Some populations of *T. tabaci* in onion growing areas have developed resistance to pyrethroid and organophosphate insecticides in New York (Shelton et al. 2003, 2006), New Zealand (Martin et al. 2003), Canada (MacIntyre Allen et al. 2005b), Australia (Herron et al. 2008), and Japan (Morishita 2008).

Recently, several new selective insecticides belonging to novel classes of chemistry such as abamectin, cyantraniliprole, spinosad, spinetoram, and spirotetramat have shown excellent control of *T. tabaci* infestations (Nault and Hessney 2008, 2010). In some cases, botanical insecticides have been effective against *T. tabaci*. Formulations of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) have prevented the development of first larval instar to second instar in the laboratory (Klein et al. 1993, Ascher et al. 2000). However, formulations of plant derived products including neem, *Chenopodium* sp., and eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus* L’Hér) have either failed or provided only mediocre control of *T. tabaci* in the onion field (Nault and Hessney 2010; Nault et al. 2010).

It is difficult to control *T. tabaci* with insecticides because eggs are protected under leaf tissues, prepupa and pupae are in the soil or in the inner space between the leaves, and some adults in the inner leaf spaces may escape control. Reinfestations from surrounding vegetation and immigration of thrips from nearby harvested onion fields may also contribute to rapid increases in populations.

When an insecticide fails to control insect pests in the field, it is necessary to conduct insecticide resistance assays. Field performance of insecticides may be affected by environmental factors and poor coverage of the crop (Shelton et al. 2003). An appropriate technique for conducting insecticide resistance assays would be to bring the insect populations from the field and culture them under laboratory conditions until adequate numbers are accessible for testing, but this presents several problems with thrips. Thrips are difficult to keep in cages because of their small size and can contaminate or can be contaminated by other colonies and plant material on which thrips are reared may be contaminated. Also, resistance may change over time, so rearing thrips for generations and then conducting a bioassay on these later generations should be a concern (Rueda and Shelton 2003). With these concerns in mind, Rueda and Shelton (2003) developed a technique to evaluate *T. tabaci* susceptibility of some insecticides and named it the thrips insecticide bioassay system (TIBS). Using TIBS, thrips are collected from onion plants in fields and placed directly into a plastic 0.5-ml microcentrifuge tube previously treated with an insecticide. Using this technique, studies have been able to identify *T. tabaci* resistance to different chemical insecticides within 24 h (Shelton et al. 2003, 2006; Rueda and Shelton 2003).

Insect species with a short life cycle and high fecundity can colonize crops rapidly and have a great potential to develop resistance to insecticides (Lewis 1997a). Polyphagous species are especially good at detoxifying a variety of plant toxins and this physiological adaptation can lead in the development of resistance to insecticides (Krieger et al. 1971). Insecticide resistance in thrips is a complex problem because it is necessary to understand genetic, biochemical, and toxicological properties of the mechanisms of resistance of the insect (Lewis 1997a). Recently, Toda and Morishita (2009) studied mutations of the sodium channel in *T. tabaci* populations resistant to pyrethroid insecticides and identified three major mutations
and phidae, including species from the genera thrips are endoparasitoids of the family Eulo-
wasps (Loomans et al. 1995) of the family Eulo-
1997). The main parasitoids of thrips are endoparasi-
main predators of thrips include mites, heteropterans
fungi (classes: Zygomycetes and Hyphomycetes). The
Erythraeidae, and Phytoseiidae), and pathogenic
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parasitoids insects (orders: Orthoptera, Hemiptera,
Thysanoptera, Coleoptera, Neuroptera, Diptera, and
Hymenoptera), predacious mites (families: Anystidae,
Erythraeidae, and Phytoseiidae), and pathogenic
fungi (classes: Zygomycetes and Hyphomycetes). The
main predators of thrips include mites, heteropterans
such as Orius sp., lacewing larvae, ladybird larvae,
hoverfly larvae, small spiders, and other thrips (Kirk
1997). The main parasitoids of thrips are endoparasis-
toid wasps (Loomans et al. 1995) of the family Eulo-
phidae, including species from the genera Ceranisus,
Goetheana, Entedonastichus, and Thripobius (Kirk
1997). Adult wasps lay an egg inside the thrips larva.
The wasp larva develops inside the thrips, killing it,
and emerging from the larva, prepupa, or pupa (Kirk
1997).

Ceranisus menes (Walker) parasitizes T. tabaci and
other thrips species (Sakimura 1937 , cited by Kirk
1997). Some thrips species were able to escape para-
sitism by struggling, but larvae of T. tabaci do not.
Samples taken from the field in Japan showed up to
88% parasitism of T. tabaci by C. menes and an average
of 34%. The parasitoid wasps showed a density-de-
pendent effect that seemed to regulate T. tabaci popu-
lations, and the parasitism effectiveness increased
through time (Sakimura 1937, cited by Kirk 1997).

Butt and Brownbridge (1997) listed fungal patho-
gens that were isolated or proven to be pathogenic to
different thrips species. Fungi affecting T. tabaci
includes Neozygites parvispora, N. cucumeriformis,
Zoophthora radicans, and Entomophthora thripidum
(class Zygomycetes); Verticillium lecanii, Beauveria
bassiana, Aspergillus sp., Paecilomyces fumosorosus,
Metarhizium anisopliae, and Sporothrix sp. (class Hyphomycetes). However, it is unlikely that fungi alone
can reduce population of thrips effectively, or be a
substitute for chemical insecticides (Butt and Brown-
bridge 1997).

There have been attempts to assess the potential of
entomopathogenic nematodes for control of T. tabaci.
Elad et al. (2004) conducted four field trials using
spray applications of nematodes (Steinernema feltiae
and Heterorhabditis bacteriophora) alone or in com-
bination with pathogenic fungi (Lecanicillium musca-
rarium formerly Verticillium lecanii, Paecilomyces fu-
mosorosus, and Beauveria bassiana). In two trials,
significant reductions in the number of thrips per plant
were recorded for the treatments S. feltiae + P. fu-
mosorosus and S. feltiae + L. muscarium 1 wk after the
final application (three times in total). However, in
the other two trials, there were no significant differ-
ences between the treatments and the control. In
another study, Al-Siyabi et al. (2006) carried out ex-
periments with the nematode Heterorhabditis indicus
against T. tabaci at different concentrations by using
foliar and soil treatments. A treatment of 1.5 million
nematodes per m² caused 70% mortality with soil ap-
plications and 55% with foliar applications. These stud-
ies demonstrate the success of entomopathogenic
nematodes to control T. tabaci; however, more studies
are needed to reveal the true impact for commercial
production.

In summary, thrips control based on natural ene-
emies does not seem to play a significant role in pest
management programs in the field (Parrella and Lewis
1997). Whether this can change with the use of more
selective insecticides should be a topic of research.

Cultural Control. Traps are used in greenhouses to
reduce and monitor insect pests (Jacobson 1997) and
in the field to monitor them. In a field experiment, Kirk
(1984) used water traps and observed that white color
attracted twice as many T. tabaci as yellow and blue
traps, but in a similar experiment the same colors
(white, yellow, and blue) were equally preferred
(Czencz 1987). Pale blue was most attractive to T. tabaci compared with white, green, yellow, gray, and
red traps (Lu 1990). In greenhouse conditions, T. tabaci
were more attracted to shades of blue and yellow than white (Brødsgaard 1993). MacIntyre-
Allen et al. (2005a) compared sticky traps with T. tabaci counts in onion fields and found that white
sticky traps detected thrips earlier than plant counts.
Recently, Davidson et al. (2009) studied the effect of
pyridine compounds on T. tabaci populations at-
ttracted to white water traps in an onion field and
observed that ethyl isonicotinate, methyl isonicoti-
nate, and ethyl nicotinate caught 18, 12, and 4 times
more thrips, respectively, than the control. In a similar
experiment, Wogin et al. (2010) combined ethyl isoni-
cotinate and ethyl nicotinate and caught 5–9 times
more T. tabaci than traps with ethyl nicotinate only but
catch similar numbers to traps with ethyl isonicoti-
nate only.

Mechanical barriers and UV-reflectance have been
examined for reducing thrips infestations (Parrella
and Lewis 1997). Larentzaki et al. (2008a) studied the
effect of kaolin particle film on T. tabaci in onion and
found that it had significant negative effects on ovi-
position, feeding and development of T. tabaci under
laboratory conditions and thrips populations were sig-
nificantly lower on kaolin-treated plots in field con-
ditions. Lu (1990) observed that silver mulches more
effectively repelled T. tabaci when shallots, Allium
copa var. aggregatum G. Don (1827), were planted at
resistance to insecticides. Larentzaki et al. (2008b) evaluated the impact of straw mulch on *T. tabaci* in onion. In the field, they observed that straw mulch-treated plots had significantly less thrips and action thresholds were reached in straw mulch treatments 1–2 wk later than in control plots but yield was not significantly different between plots. In laboratory conditions, pupation was reduced by 54% in onion plants grown in straw mulch compared with a bare soil treatment.

Sanitation through destruction of cull onions, volunteer onion plants and weed hosts in the field after harvest is essential because they can serve as a *T. tabaci* overwintering site (Larentzaki et al. 2007). Volunteer onion plants, produced from cull onions, are sometimes found in high densities in onion fields when the onion crop is not present and they serve as a suitable host for thrips before the onions are planted in the spring and after onions are harvested in the fall. Because volunteer onions do not remain green during the winter, onion culls may serve as an overwintering site, as well as feeding and oviposition sites in the spring (Larentzaki et al. 2007). Removal of volunteer onion plants is also important because they are one of the few sources of primary inoculum of IYSV (Gent et al. 2006). *T. tabaci* also has been found colonizing different weeds species late in the fall (Larentzaki et al. 2007, Smith 2010).

Heavy rains can dislodge thrips and leave droplets on the leaves and drown *T. tabaci*, causing dramatic populations decreases (Harris et al. 1935, North and Shelton 1986). Overhead irrigation of onion crops can cause similar reductions and this effect was comparable with the use of insecticides in areas in Australia (Passlow 1957). However, this may be difficult to implement in large-scale onion fields, and our experience reducing *T. tabaci* populations with overhead irrigation has given inconsistent results.

In field conditions, intercropping of vegetables with other plant species has been shown to reduce pest populations and may reduce or eliminate chemical control (Theunissen 1994). Intercropping has been successful in reducing *T. tabaci* infestations in tropical and temperate climates (Parrella and Lewis 1997). In Egypt, Affi and Haydar (1990) reported that intercropping of onion and garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) with tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) reduced infestations of *T. tabaci* by 80%. In England, intercropping of onions with carrots (*Daucus carota* L.) decreased *T. tabaci* populations by 50%, and it was more effective when single rows of each crop were closely alternated, possibly because carrot foliage hid the onions from thrips view (Uvah and Coaker 1984).

**Host Plant Resistance.** Host plant resistance is an important foundation of integrated pest management (IPM) (Panda and Khush 1995, Kennedy 2008) and may offer a long-term solution to *T. tabaci* control and reduce the use of insecticides, which would lower environmental hazards and minimize the evolution of resistance to insecticides.

It had been reported that onion cultivars with white bulbs were more resistant to *T. tabaci* than cultivars with red bulbs (Verma 1966, Lall and Singh 1968); however, further work by Brar et al. (1993) did not find any such relationship. In New York state, MacLeod (1993) categorized 20 onion cultivars as susceptible or resistant by counting the number of *T. tabaci* present on the plants every 2 wk during the period of infestation and suggested eight of the cultivars were resistant. However, caution should be observed with results from such ‘choice tests’ in the field because resistance may not hold up when only one cultivar is grown.

Jones et al. (1934) observed that inner leaves of susceptible onion cultivars were flat and closer together, which provided more shelter for *T. tabaci*. On the contrary, the most resistant cultivar, ‘White Persian’, has inner leaves with a circular shape that were more separated from each other. When leaves were tied together artificially, *T. tabaci* populations increased on White Persian, suggesting the architecture of the plants was important. Jones et al. (1935) compared *T. tabaci* populations on 44 onion cultivars with White Persian. All cultivars tested had significantly higher numbers of *T. tabaci* than the resistant cultivar, which has thick, light green leaves and an open type of canopy. A similar type of leaf structure was observed in ‘Nebuka’, which had less *T. tabaci* compared with three other onion cultivars (Coudriet et al. 1979).

Pawar et al. (1987) screened 64 onion cultivars for resistance to *T. tabaci* and found that 17 that had the lowest number of *T. tabaci* larvae had leaves with a circular shape and wider angle between the central leaves. In a similar study, three of 28 onion cultivars classified as resistant had a wider angle between central leaves (Patil et al. 1988). Huddäk and Pénzes (2004) associated low populations of *T. tabaci* with the shape of leaves and the angle of divergence of the two innermost leaves. In another study, six of 61 genotypes screened for resistance sustained fewer *T. tabaci* than the other cultivars (Brar et al. 1993). Loges et al. (2004a, 2004b) showed a relationship with the low number of larvae found on ‘Duquesa’ with the fewest leaves and largest angle between the central leaves, compared with seven other onion cultivars.

In a recent study, Diaz-Montano et al. (2010) screened 49 onion cultivars and found 11 resistant to *T. tabaci*. All the resistant cultivars had yellow-green colored foliage compared with the susceptible cultivars that all had blue-green color foliage. Although the authors did not examine the morphological or chemical basis for these color differences, they suggested that such differences in color are strongly associated with resistance.

**Conclusions**

*T. tabaci* is a well-established primary pest of onion worldwide that causes yield reductions by its direct feeding; and, more recently, by its transmission of IYSV and other pathogens. Management of *T. tabaci* over the past 60 yr has relied primarily on the use of
insecticides, but this in turn has led to many cases of insecticide resistance. Although insecticides will likely continue to be useful as components of an overall IPM program, there is an urgent need to incorporate other strategies, such as those mentioned in this review.

One seemingly underutilized strategy is the use of host plant resistance. Although published studies since the 1930s have suggested this as a viable strategy, it does not seem that commercial companies have emphasized this strategy in their research and development programs. Probably, this is because the factor(s) responsible for resistance have not been well defined and thus cannot be easily incorporated into a breeding program. Cultivars that we have recently identified as being resistant do include some commercial cultivars, and we have suggested such resistance is associated with leaf color (Diaz-Montano et al. 2010), but considerably more work needs to be done before such knowledge can be incorporated into a breeding program that would produce cultivars that are commercially acceptable for farmers and consumers.

The situation with developing resistance to IYSV is more complex because none of the cultivars we examined showed any tolerance to this emerging disease and we are not aware of any other germplasm showing resistance. If onion cultivars, or if cultural practices can be implemented, that reduce the likelihood that T. tabaci will land on and colonize onions, it would be expected that the incidence of IYSV would be reduced. However, a more likely successful strategy would be to incorporate genes for resistance to IYSV into commercially acceptable onions, but we are not aware of any resistant germplasm. In such cases, it may be that genetically engineering onions to be resistant to IYSV may be the only viable solution. This strategy has proven extremely effective in controlling Papaya ringspot virus in papaya (Carica papaya L.) and several viruses in summer squash (Cucurbita spp.) (Shelton et al. 2008).
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